



This Washington Post-Schar School poll was conducted by telephone Feb. 6-10, 2019 among a random national sample of 841 adults with 62 percent reached on cell phones and 38 percent on landlines. Overall results have a margin of sampling error of plus or minus four percentage points, including design effects due to weighting. Sampling, data collection and tabulation by SSRS of Glen Mills, Pa.

(Full methodological details appended at the end.)

*= less than 0.5 percent

1. As you may know, special counsel Robert Mueller is investigating Russia's role in the 2016 election and its possible ties with Donald Trump's presidential campaign. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Mueller is handling this investigation? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or somewhat?

	----- Approve -----			----- Disapprove -----			No
	NET	Strongly	Somewhat	NET	Somewhat	Strongly	opinion
2/10/19	51	31	20	34	12	22	15

Compare to:

A special counsel at the U.S. Justice Department, Robert Mueller, has been investigating possible ties between Trump's presidential campaign and the Russian government. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Mueller is handling this investigation?

	Approve	Disapprove	No opinion
1/18/18	50	31	19
11/1/17	58	28	14

2. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Trump has responded to the Mueller investigation? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or somewhat?

	----- Approve -----			----- Disapprove -----			No
	NET	Strongly	Somewhat	NET	Somewhat	Strongly	opinion
2/10/19	35	21	14	52	16	36	13

3. So far, do you think Mueller's investigation has or has not proven that (INSERT ITEM)?

2/10/19 - Summary table

	Has proven	Has not proven	No opinion
a. Russia tried to interfere with the 2016 presidential election	43	43	14
b. Members of Trump's 2016 campaign lied about contacts with Russians	43	45	12
c. Members of Trump's presidential campaign coordinated with the Russian government in an effort to help Trump win the 2016 election	34	54	12
d. Trump himself tried to interfere with the Russia investigation in a way			

that amounts to obstruction of justice 28 57 14

4. Do you think Mueller's final report on the investigation should be made public in its entirety, or not? Do you feel that way strongly or somewhat?

	----- Made public -----				----- Not made public -----				No
	NET	Strongly	Somewhat	No op.	NET	Somewhat	Strongly	No op.	op.
2/10/19	81	64	16	1	15	8	6	*	4

5. If Mueller's report concludes that Trump authorized his 2016 campaign to coordinate with the Russian government, would you support or oppose Congress impeaching Trump and trying to remove him from office?

	Support	Oppose	No opinion
2/10/19	61	33	6

6. If Mueller's report concludes that Trump tried to interfere with the Russia investigation in a way that amounts to obstruction of justice, would you support or oppose Congress impeaching Trump and trying to remove him from office?

	Support	Oppose	No opinion
2/10/19	65	29	7

7. Do you think Mueller is mainly interested in (finding out the truth), or that he's mainly interested in (hurting Trump politically)?

	Finding out the truth	Hurting Trump politically	No opinion
2/10/19	57	36	8

Compare to:

Do you think Starr is mainly interested in finding out the truth, or do you think he's mainly interested in hurting Clinton politically?

	Finding truth	Hurting Clinton politically	No opin.
8/21/98	35	61	5
8/19/98	38	58	4
7/12/98	29	61	10
4/4/98	32	56	12
2/18/98	30	63	7
1/26/98	30	56	14

8. Whose version of the facts are you most inclined to accept - (Donald Trump's) or (Robert Mueller's)?

	Trump's	Mueller's	No opinion
2/10/19	33	56	11

Compare to:

Whose version of the facts are you most inclined to accept-Bill Clinton's or Kenneth Starr's?

	Starr's	Clinton's	Neither (vol.)	No opinion
9/28/98	47	42	NA	11
9/28/98LV	52	38	NA	10
9/14/98*	53	26	13	8
9/12/98	51	33	13	4
9/11/98	56	30	11	3

"As you probably know, special prosecutor Kenneth Starr has issued his report to Congress. Whose version..."

Party ID. Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a...

	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Other (vol.)	No opinion
2/10/19	32	26	39	1	2
1/24/19	32	24	37	4	3
1/11/18	31	26	39	1	3
11/1/18	32	25	35	4	4
10/11/18	33	26	35	4	2
8/29/18	33	25	37	3	3
4/11/18	32	25	35	5	3

*** END ***

METHODOLOGICAL DETAILS

This poll was sponsored and funded by The Washington Post and George Mason University's Schar School of Policy and Government and is a random sample adults of the United States, including interviews in English and Spanish. This questionnaire was administered with the exact questions in the exact order as appears in this document unless otherwise noted. These questions were part of an "omnibus" survey in which other questions were asked before or after these questions.

A dual frame landline and cellular phone telephone sample was generated by Marketing Systems Group (MSG) using Random Digit Dialing procedures. Interviewers called landlines cellular phone numbers, first requesting to speak with the youngest adult male or female at home. The final sample included 318 interviews completed on landlines and 523 interviews completed via cellular phones, including 342 interviews with adults in cell phone-only households.

This survey uses statistical weighting procedures to account for differential chances of being selected due to landline and cellular phone access and household size. Weighting also corrects for deviations in the survey sample from known population characteristics, which helps correct for differential survey participation and random variation in samples.

The overall adult sample is weighted using a raking procedure to match the demographic makeup of the population by sex, region, age, education, race/ethnicity, marital status, and population density according to Census Bureau estimates. The sample is also weighted to match phone estimates of the share of the population who are cell phone-only, landline-only and mixed user populations according to the National Health Interview Survey. The sample is also weighted to match the average of party identification in the previous two waves of Post-ABC national polls and this current survey.

All error margins have been adjusted to account for the survey's design effect, which is 1.4 for this survey. The design effect is a factor representing the survey's deviation from a simple random sample and takes into account decreases in precision due to sample design and weighting procedures. Surveys that do not incorporate a design effect overstate their precision.

Contact polls@washpost.com for further information about how The Washington Post conducts polls.

The Washington Post is a charter member of AAPOR's Transparency Initiative, which recognizes organizations that disclose key methodological details on the research they produce.

